

# On $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$ Fuzzy-Ideal of KU-Algebra

\*Showq Mohammed .E

\*\*DhuhaAbdulameerKadhim

\*\*\*Iraq, University of Kufa, College of Education for Girls , Department of Mathematics

## ABSTRACT

*In this paper, we present and study ideal in KU- Algebra, it is named  $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$ -ideal ,we provide some examples, properties and theorems about it. Also, we study the direct product of  $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$ -ideals. Finally, we announce and study fuzzy  $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$ -ideal of this Algebra.*

**Keywords:** KU-algebra, fuzzy KU- ideal,  $\sigma$ -multiplication, fuzzy  $\hat{h}$ -multiplication, onto homomorphism.

## 1.INTRODUCTION

The perception of fuzzy subsets was defined via Zadeh in 1965 [8]. Then Iseki K. and Tanaka S. gave concept BCK & BCI-algebras respectively [1]. After that several papers have been available by mathematicians to define the classical mathematical concepts and fuzzy mathematical concepts. Prabpayak C. & Leerawat U. presented KU-Algebra. They offered the Definition of KU homomorphisms and provided some related theories in [5]. In addition, Hameed A.T, Mostafa S.M. et al. [4] acquainted with the fuzzy KU-ideals of a KU-Algebra. In this paper we itemized the ideas as we talk about in abstract. In the next parts of our research.

Notice We will symbolize to KU- Algebra  $(\wp; \bullet, 0)$  by  $\wp$  and every fuzzy subset by F-set

## The Basic Concepts

### Definition 2.1: [8]

Let  $\mu : \wp \rightarrow [0,1]$  be a F-set of nonempty set  $\wp$ .

### Definition 2.2: [8]

Assume  $\perp$  is a F-set of  $\wp$ . If  $\perp(\tau) = 0$  for every  $y \in \wp$  then  $\perp$  is named empty F-set.

### Definition 2.3: [8]

If  $\perp$  and  $\partial$  are two fuzzy sets. Then :  $\forall \lambda \in \wp$ .

$$1 - (\perp \cap \partial)(\lambda) = \text{MIN} \{ \perp(\lambda), \partial(\lambda) \}$$

$$2 - (\perp \cup \partial)(\lambda) = \text{MAX} \{ \perp(\lambda), \partial(\lambda) \}.$$

**Definition 2.4: [7]**

The set  $\wp$  is a KU-Algebra, with the constant 0 and a binary operation  $\bullet$ , respectively if the four conditions are satisfying :-

- 1-  $(\lambda \bullet \tau\ell) \bullet [(\tau\ell \bullet z)] \bullet (\lambda \bullet z) = 0, \forall \lambda, \tau\ell, z \in \wp$
- 2-  $0 \bullet \lambda = \lambda, \forall \lambda \in \wp$
- 3-  $\lambda \bullet 0 = 0,$
- 4-  $\lambda \bullet \tau\ell = 0 = \tau\ell \bullet \lambda \rightarrow \lambda = \tau\ell.$

**Definition 2.5: [7]**

We know  $\perp$  is a fuzzy KU -ideal of  $\wp$  when :  $\forall \lambda, \tau\ell, z \in \wp.$

- 1-  $\perp(0) \geq \perp(\lambda),$
- 2-  $\perp(\lambda \bullet z) \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp((\lambda \bullet \tau\ell) \bullet z), \perp(\tau\ell)\}.$

**Definition 2.6: [6]**

Suppose that  $h \in (0,1]$  is a fuzzy  $h$ -multiplication of  $\chi$  define by  $\chi_h^M(\lambda) = h\chi(\lambda); \forall \lambda \in \wp.$

**Definition 2.7:[2]**

Let  $\chi$  be a F-set of  $\wp$  and let  $\sigma \in [0, T]$ . A map  $\chi_\sigma^T$  from  $\wp$  to  $[0,1]$  is known as a fuzzy translation of  $\chi$  if it fulfills  $\chi_\sigma^T(\lambda) = \chi(\lambda) + \sigma; \forall \lambda \in \wp.$  Where  $T = 1 - \sup\{\chi(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in \wp\}.$

**Theorem 2.8: [3]**

Let  $(\wp; \bullet, 0)$  and  $(G; \bullet', 0')$  be two KU-Algebras and  $\varpi : (\wp; \bullet, 0) \rightarrow (G; \bullet', 0')$  be an onto homomorphism . Then the image of any fuzzy Kuideal is also fuzzy Kuideal symbolized by  $\varpi(\perp).$

**Definition 2.9: [2]**

Let  $\{\perp_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \in \varpi\}$  be a set of F-set from  $\wp$ . Define  $\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \varpi} \perp_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \inf\{\perp_\varepsilon(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in \wp,$

$$\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \varpi} \perp_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \sup\{\perp_\varepsilon(\lambda), \forall \lambda \in \wp\}.$$

**Fuzzy SÔZ-ideal**

**Definition 3.1**

A fuzzy ideal  $\perp$  of  $\wp$  is named a SÔZ fuzzy ideal and denoted it by SÔZ - F -ideal of  $\wp$  if

- 1-  $\perp(0) \geq \perp(\lambda); \forall \lambda \in \wp.$
- 2-  $\perp(\lambda^2 \bullet \tau\ell) \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp(\lambda), \perp(\tau\ell)\}; \forall \lambda, \tau\ell \in \wp.$

**Example 3.2**

Let  $\wp = \{0, \varepsilon, \tau, \partial\}$  be a set with the accompanying table

•	0	ε	τ	∂	ℓ
0	0	ε	τ	∂	ℓ
ε	0	0	τ	∂	∂
τ	0	ε	0	ε	ℓ
∂	0	0	0	0	∂
ℓ	0	0	0	0	0

Then  $(\wp, \bullet, 0)$  is an KU -Algebra and defined F-set  $\perp: \wp \rightarrow [0,1]$ , when  $\tilde{\lambda} \in [0,1], \tilde{\lambda} \succ \iota \succ \nu; \perp(0) = \tilde{\lambda}, \perp(\varepsilon) = \iota, \perp(\tau) = \iota, \perp(\partial) = \nu, \perp(\ell) = \nu$  is a  $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$  - F -ideal of  $\wp$ .

Notice we will symbolize  $\perp$  is  $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$  - F -ideal of  $\wp$  by  $\perp \nabla \wp$ .

**Theorem 3.3**

If  $\perp_\varepsilon$  be a set of  $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$  - F -ideals of  $\wp, \forall \varepsilon \in \angle$ , then  $\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon \nabla \wp$ .

**Proof**

$$1 - \perp_\varepsilon(0) \geq \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}); \forall \varepsilon \in \angle, \forall \tilde{\lambda}, \tau \ell \in \wp.$$

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(0) \geq \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}).$$

$$2 - \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau \ell) \geq \inf_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \{\perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau \ell)\}$$

$$= \inf_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \{\text{MIN}\{\perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}), \perp_\varepsilon(\tau \ell)\}\}$$

$$= \text{MIN}\{\inf_{\varepsilon \in \angle}(\perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda})), \inf_{\varepsilon \in \angle}(\perp_\varepsilon(\tau \ell))\}$$

$$= \text{MIN}\{\bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}), \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tau \ell)\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon \nabla \wp.$$

**Theorem 3.4**

Let  $\perp_\varepsilon$  be a chain of  $\hat{S}\hat{O}\hat{Z}$  - F -ideals of  $\wp, \forall \varepsilon \in \angle$ . Then  $\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon \nabla \wp$ .

**Proof**

$$1 - \perp_\varepsilon(0) \geq \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}); \forall \varepsilon \in \angle, \forall \tilde{\lambda}, \tau \ell \in \wp.$$

$$\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(0) \geq \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}).$$

$$2 - \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau \ell) \geq \text{SUP}_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \{\perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau \ell)\}$$

$$= \text{SUP}_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \{\text{MIN}\{\perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}), \perp_\varepsilon(\tau \ell)\}\}$$

$$= \text{MIN}\{\text{SUP}_{\varepsilon \in \angle}(\perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda})), \text{SUP}_{\varepsilon \in \angle}(\perp_\varepsilon(\tau \ell))\}$$

$$= \text{MIN}\{\bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tilde{\lambda}), \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \angle} \perp_\varepsilon(\tau \ell)\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}} \perp_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proposition 3.5**

Let  $\perp$  be a  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideal of  $\wp$ . Then  $\neg \perp(\hat{\lambda}) = \{\hat{\lambda}, \perp(\hat{\lambda}), 1 - \perp(\hat{\lambda})\}$  is also  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideal of  $\wp$ .

**Proof**

1-

$$\neg \perp(0) = \{0, \perp(0), 1 - \perp(0)\} \geq \{\hat{\lambda}, \perp(\hat{\lambda}), 1 - \perp(\hat{\lambda})\} = \neg \perp(\hat{\lambda}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2- \neg \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) &= \{\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell, \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell), 1 - \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell)\} \geq \{\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell, \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\}, 1 - \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\}\} \\ &= \{\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell, \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\}, \text{MIN}\{1 - \perp(\hat{\lambda}), 1 - \perp(\tau\ell)\}\} = \{\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell, \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell), 1 - \perp(\hat{\lambda}), 1 - \perp(\tau\ell)\}\} \\ &= \{\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell, \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), 1 - \perp(\hat{\lambda})\}, \text{MIN}\{\perp(\tau\ell), 1 - \perp(\tau\ell)\}\} = \{\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell, \neg \perp(\hat{\lambda}), \neg \perp(\tau\ell)\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \neg \perp(\hat{\lambda}) \neq \emptyset.$$

**Proposition 3.6**

Let  $\perp, \eta$  be two  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideals of  $\wp$ . Then  $\perp \times \eta(\hat{\lambda}) = \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \eta(\hat{\lambda})\}$  is also  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideal of  $\wp$ .

**Proof**

$$1- \perp \times \eta(0) = \text{MIN}\{\perp(0), \eta(0)\} \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \eta(\hat{\lambda})\} = \perp \times \eta(\hat{\lambda}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2- \perp \times \eta(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) &= \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell), \eta(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell)\} \geq \text{MIN}\{\text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\}, \text{MIN}\{\eta(\hat{\lambda}), \eta(\tau\ell)\}\} \\ &= \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \eta(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell), \eta(\tau\ell)\} = \text{MIN}\{\text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \eta(\hat{\lambda})\}, \text{MIN}\{\perp(\tau\ell), \eta(\tau\ell)\}\} \\ &= \text{MIN}\{\perp \times \eta(\hat{\lambda}), \perp \times \eta(\tau\ell)\}. \end{aligned}$$

This means  $\perp \times \eta \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.7**

Let  $\perp \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \forall \sigma \in (0,1) \perp_\sigma^M$  is  $\sigma$ -multiplication of  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideal of  $\wp$ .

**Proof:**  $\rightarrow$

Let  $\sigma \in (0,1)$  such that  $\perp_\sigma^M$  is  $\sigma$ -multiplication of  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideal of  $\wp$ ,

$$1- \perp_\sigma^M(0) = \perp(0) \bullet \sigma \geq \perp(\hat{\lambda}) \bullet \sigma = \perp_\sigma^M(\hat{\lambda})$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2- \perp_\sigma^M(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) &\geq \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) \bullet \sigma \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\} \bullet \sigma = \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}) \bullet \sigma, \perp(\tau\ell) \bullet \sigma\} \\ &= \text{MIN}\{\perp_\sigma^M(\hat{\lambda}), \perp_\sigma^M(\tau\ell)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From 1 and 2  $\perp_\sigma^M$  is  $\sigma$ -multiplication of  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideal of  $\wp$ .

**Proof:**  $\leftarrow$

$$1- \perp_\sigma^M(0) \geq \perp_\sigma^M(\hat{\lambda}), \forall \hat{\lambda} \in \wp, \perp(0) \bullet \sigma \geq \perp(\hat{\lambda}) \bullet \sigma \Rightarrow \perp(0) \geq \perp(\hat{\lambda}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2- \perp_\sigma^M(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) &\geq \text{MIN}\{\perp_\sigma^M(\hat{\lambda}), \perp_\sigma^M(\tau\ell)\} \text{ obviously } = \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) \bullet \sigma \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\} \bullet \sigma \\ &= \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\}. \end{aligned}$$

From 1 and 2, we get  $\perp \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.8**

Let  $f : (\wp; \bullet, 0) \rightarrow (\wp'; \bullet', 0')$  be an endomorphism,  $\perp \not\vdash \wp$ . Then  $(\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f$  is  $\sigma$ -multiplication  $\hat{S}\hat{O}Z$ -F-ideals of  $\wp'$ . When  $(\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(\hat{\lambda}) = \perp_{\sigma}^M(f(\hat{\lambda}))$ .

**Proof**

Let  $\hat{\lambda}, \tau\ell \in \wp, f(\hat{\lambda}), f(\tau\ell) \in \wp'$ .

$$1 - (\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(0) = \perp_{\sigma}^M(f(0)) = \perp_{\sigma}^M(0') = \sigma \bullet \perp(0') \geq \sigma \bullet \perp(f(\hat{\lambda})) = \perp_{\sigma}^M(f(\hat{\lambda})) = (\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(\hat{\lambda})$$

$$\Rightarrow (\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(0) \geq (\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(\hat{\lambda}).$$

$$2 - (\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) = \perp_{\sigma}^M(f(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell)) \geq \sigma \bullet \perp(f(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell)) = \text{MIN}\{\sigma \bullet \perp(f(\hat{\lambda})), \sigma \bullet \perp(f(\tau\ell))\}$$

$$= \text{MIN}\{\perp_{\sigma}^M(f(\hat{\lambda})), \perp_{\sigma}^M(f(\tau\ell))\} = \text{MIN}\{(\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(\hat{\lambda}), (\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f(\tau\ell)\}.$$

$$\Rightarrow (\perp_{\sigma}^M)_f \text{ is } \sigma\text{-multiplication } \hat{S}\hat{O}Z\text{-F-ideal of } \wp'.$$

**Theorem 3.9**

If  $\perp \not\vdash \wp$ , then fuzzy  $\hat{\lambda}$ -translation  $\perp_{\sigma}^T$  of  $\perp \not\vdash \wp \forall \sigma \in [0, T]$ .

**Proof**

Assume  $\perp \not\vdash \wp$  and let  $\sigma \in [0, T]$ . Then

$$1 - \perp_{\sigma}^T(0) = \perp(0) + \sigma \geq \perp(\hat{\lambda}) + \sigma = \perp_{\sigma}^T(\hat{\lambda}).$$

$$2 - \perp_{\sigma}^T(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) = \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) + \sigma \geq \text{Min}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\} + \sigma$$

$$\perp_{\sigma}^T(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) \geq \text{Min}\{\perp_{\sigma}^T(\hat{\lambda}), \perp_{\sigma}^T(\tau\ell)\}.$$

From 1 and 2, we have  $\perp_{\sigma}^T \not\vdash \wp$ .

**Theorem 3.10**

Let  $\perp$  be fuzzy ideal of  $\wp$ . Such that a  $\perp_{\sigma}^T \not\vdash \wp$  for some  $\sigma \in [0, T]$ , then  $\perp \not\vdash \wp$ .

**Proof**

Consider that  $\perp_{\sigma}^T \not\vdash \wp$  for some  $\sigma \in [0, T]$ . Then  $\perp \not\vdash \wp$ .

$$1 - \perp(0) + \sigma = \perp_{\sigma}^T(0) \geq \perp_{\sigma}^T(\hat{\lambda}) = \perp(\hat{\lambda}) + \sigma,$$

this leads  $\perp(0) \geq \perp(\hat{\lambda})$ .

$$2 - \perp_{\sigma}^T(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp_{\sigma}^T(\hat{\lambda}), \perp_{\sigma}^T(\tau\ell)\} = \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}) + \sigma, \perp(\tau\ell) + \sigma\} = \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) + \sigma$$

$$\geq \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\} + \sigma \Rightarrow \perp(\hat{\lambda}^2 \bullet \tau\ell) \geq \text{MIN}\{\perp(\hat{\lambda}), \perp(\tau\ell)\}$$

From 1 and 2, we have  $\perp \not\vdash \wp$ .

**REFERENCES**

[1] K. Iseki & S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK Algebras, Math, Japonica, pp.26-23, no.1,1978.

[2] V. Kumbhojkar, M. S. Bapat, Not-so-fuzzy ideals. Fuzzy Set and Systems, pp.237-

243, Vol.3(7).1991.

[3] B. Leek, Y.B. Jun and M.J. Doh,(), Fuzzy translations and fuzzy multiplications of BCK/BCL algebras, *Comm. Korean Math.*, Vol-24, no.3, pp. 353-360, 2009.

[4] SM Mostafa, A.T. Hameed, NZ Mohammed, Fuzzy Translations of KUS-algebras, *Journal of AL-Qadisiyah for computer science and mathematics*, 8-16, 8 (2), 2016.

[5] C. Prabhayak, U. Leerawat, On isomorphism of KU Algebras, *Scientia Magna.*, 25-31, Vol. 5(3). 2009.

[6] T. Priya and T. Ramachandran, fuzzy translation and Fuzzy multiplication on Ps-algebras. *Inter. J. Innovation in Science and Mathematics*, Vol. 2, no.5, 485-489, 2014.

[7] M. Samy, Mostafa, Mokhtar A. Abd-Elnaby and Moustafa M. M. Yousef, Fuzzy Ideals of KU – Algebras, *Int. Math. Forum*, 3139-3149, Vol. 6(63), 2011.

[8] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Set. *Information and control*, pp. 338-358, Vol. 8, 1965.